

# Nonexistence of triples of nonisomorphic connected graphs with isomorphic connected $P_3$ -graphs \*

Xueliang Li and Yan Liu

Center for Combinatorics and LPMC  
Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China  
lxl@nankai.edu.cn

## Abstract

In the paper "Broersma and Hoede, *Path graphs*, J. Graph Theory **13** (1989) 427-444", the authors proposed a problem whether there is a triple of mutually nonisomorphic connected graphs which have an isomorphic connected  $P_3$ -graph. For a long time, this problem remains unanswered. In this paper, we give it a negative answer that there is no such triple, and thus completely solve this problem.

Keywords: path graph, connected, isomorphism

## 1 Introduction

Broersma and Hoede [3] generalized the concept of line graphs to that of path graphs by defining adjacency as follows. Let  $k$  be a positive integer, and  $P_k$  and  $C_k$  denote a path and a cycle with  $k$  vertices, respectively. Let  $\pi_k(G)$  be the set of all  $P_k$ 's in  $G$ . The *path graph*  $P_k(G)$  of  $G$  is a graph with vertex set  $\pi_k(G)$  in which two  $P_k$ 's are adjacent whenever their union is a path  $P_{k+1}$  or a cycle  $C_k$ . Broersma and Hoede got many results on  $P_3$ -graphs, especially, described two infinite classes of pairs of nonisomorphic connected graphs which have isomorphic connected  $P_3$ -graphs. They also raised a number of unsolved problems or questions, all of which have been solved during

---

\*Research supported by NSFC.

these year, but only the following one remains unanswered.

**Problem.** Whether there exists a triple of mutually nonisomorphic connected graphs which have an isomorphic connected  $P_3$ -graph ?

For  $k = 2$ , i.e., line graphs, from Whitney's result (see [4]) it is not difficult to see that the problem has a negative answer. In [5] the authors showed that for  $k \geq 4$  there are not only triples of but also arbitrarily many mutually nonisomorphic connected graphs with isomorphic connected  $P_k$ -graphs. However, interestingly we will show in this paper that for  $k = 3$  there does not exist any triple of mutually nonisomorphic connected graphs with an isomorphic connected  $P_3$ -graph, just like the case for  $k = 2$  but very different from the case for  $k \geq 4$ . Note that If one drops the connectedness of the original graph or its  $P_3$ -graph, then it is easy to find arbitrarily many mutually nonisomorphic graphs with an isomorphic  $P_3$ -graph.

## 2 Preliminaries

All graphs in this paper are undirected, finite and simple. We follow the terminology and notations used in [1, 2]. If  $\sigma$  is an isomorphism from  $G$  to  $H$ , then  $\sigma$  induces a  $P_k$ -isomorphism  $\sigma^*$  from  $G$  to  $H$ , where  $\sigma^*(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_k) = \sigma(a_1) \sigma(a_2) \cdots \sigma(a_k)$  for all  $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_k \in \pi_k(G)$ . A  $P_k$ -isomorphism  $\tau$  is *induced* if  $\tau = \sigma^*$  for some isomorphism  $\sigma$ . If  $\tau_i$  is a  $P_k$ -isomorphism from  $G_i$  to  $H_i$  for  $i = 1$  and  $2$ , then we say that  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are *equivalent* if there are isomorphisms  $\sigma$  and  $\rho$  from  $G_1$  to  $G_2$  and  $H_1$  to  $H_2$ , respectively, such that  $\tau_1 = (\rho^*)^{-1} \circ \tau_2 \circ \sigma^*$ .

Define an *i-thorn* to be a  $P_3$  with exactly  $i$  ( $i = 1$  or  $2$ ) terminal ends in  $G$ . Let  $T_i(G)$  be the set of  $i$ -thorns in  $G$ . We say that two  $P_3$ -isomorphisms  $\tau_i$  from  $G_i$  to  $H_i$  for  $i = 1$  and  $2$ , are *T-related* if (i)  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  differ only in their star components, so do  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ ; (ii)  $|T_2(G_1)| = |T_2(G_2)|$ ; and (iii)  $\tau_1(\alpha) = \tau_2(\alpha)$  for every  $\alpha \in \pi_3(G_1) - T_2(G_1) = \pi_3(G_2) - T_2(G_2)$ .

Consider two 1-thorns  $abc$  and  $abd$  where  $\deg(a) \geq 2$  and  $\deg(c) = \deg(d) = 1$ , then swapping  $abc$  and  $abd$  gives a  $P_3$ -isomorphism, which we call a *B-swap*.

Suppose  $abcde$  is a  $P_5$  in  $G$  such that both  $abc$  and  $cde$  are terminal 1-thorns, i.e.,  $\deg(a) = \deg(e) = 1$  and  $\deg(c) = 2$ , then swapping  $abc$  and  $cde$  gives a  $P_3$ -isomorphism, which we call an *S-swap*.

For distinct  $a, b \in V(G)$ , let  $D_{a,b}$  denote the subgraph of  $G$  consisting of the union of all  $P_3$ 's with ends  $a$  and  $b$  and with middle vertex of degree 2 in  $G$ . If  $D_{a,b}$  is nonempty we call it a *diamond* with ends  $a$  and  $b$ . We usually

write  $V(D_{a,b}) - \{a, b\}$  as  $\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k\}$  and call  $k$  the *width* of  $D_{a,b}$ , and refer to  $D_{a,b}$  as a  $k$ -diamond. Note that if  $a \sim b$ , the edge  $ab$  is not included in  $D_{a,b}$ . To distinguish the two possibilities, we say that the diamond  $D_{a,b}$  is *braced* if  $a \sim b$  and *unbraced* otherwise. For  $1 \leq i < j \leq k$ , the  $P_3$ 's  $ac_i b$  are called *diamond paths* while the pair of  $P_3$ 's  $c_i ac_j$  and  $c_i bc_j$  is called a *diamond pair*. Then swapping  $c_i ac_j$  and  $c_i bc_j$  gives a  $P_3$ -isomorphism, which we call a *D-swap*.

Suppose  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are  $P_3$ -isomorphisms from  $G$  to  $H$ . We say that  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are *B-related* if  $\tau_2^{-1} \circ \tau_1$  is the identity or a composition of *B-swaps*. The *S-related* and *D-related* are defined similarly. We use joins of these four equivalence relations: for example, two  $P_3$ -isomorphisms are *TBSD-related* if we can get from one to the other by a chain of zero or more *T*-, *B*-, *S*- and/or *D*-relations.

The following is the main result of [1], based on which we shall solve our problem by case analysis.

**Theorem 2.1** *Let  $\tau$  be a  $P_3$ -isomorphism from  $G$  to  $H$  such that at least one of  $G$  or  $H$  is connected. Then  $\tau$  is one of the following:*

- (i) *T-related to a  $P_3$ -isomorphism of generalized  $K_{3,3}$  type;*
- (ii) *of special Whitney type;*
- (iii) *D-related to a  $P_3$ -isomorphism of Whitney type 3, 4, 5 or 6;*
- (iv) *D-related to a  $P_3$ -isomorphism of bipartite type; or*
- (v) *TBSD-related to an induced  $P_3$ -isomorphism.*

*The definition for each of the above types will be given in the successive subsections.*

For solving our problem, in Theorem 2.1 we only need to consider that the original graphs  $G$  and  $H$  are nonisomorphic connected graphs with  $T_2(G) = T_2(H) = \emptyset$ . Below, we will analyze the types in Theorem 2.1 case by case in details.

## 2.1 Generalized $K_{3,3}$ type

First, we introduce the following notation which is used in the definition of generalized  $K_{3,3}$  type. We write  $(c, d)ab(e, f) \mapsto uvwxu$  if  $G$  contains the edges  $ab, ac, ad, be, bf$ ,  $H$  contains the  $C_4$   $uvwxu$ , and  $\tau$  maps  $cab \mapsto xuv$ ,  $dab \mapsto vwx$ ,  $abe \mapsto uvw$  and  $abf \mapsto wxu$ . We also write  $abc(d, e) \mapsto uvwxy$  if  $G$  contains the edges  $ab, bc, cd, ce$ ,  $H$  contains the  $P_5$   $uvwxy$ , and  $\tau$  maps  $abc \mapsto vwx$ ,  $bcd \mapsto uvw$  and  $bce \mapsto wxy$ . This notation will be reversed (e.g.,

$abcd a \mapsto (w, x)uv(y, z)$ ) as needed. Then, define the generalized  $K_{3,3}$  type as follows:

Either  $\tau$  or  $\tau^{-1}$  as in the following cases (i) through (vii), or any equivalent  $P_3$ -isomorphism, is said to be of *generalized  $K_{3,3}$  type*.

- (i)  $(c, d)ab(e, f) \mapsto u_1v_1u_2v_2u_1$ , and  $cad$  and  $ebf$  map to  $P_3$  components of  $H$ .
- (ii)  $(c, d)ab(e, f) \mapsto u_1v_1u_2v_2u_1$ ,  $kebfh \mapsto yv_3u_1(v_1, v_2)$ , and  $cad$  maps to a  $P_3$  component.
- (iii)  $(c, d)ab(e, f) \mapsto u_1v_1u_2v_2u_1$ ,  $(k, l)eb(a, f) \mapsto u_1v_1u_2v_3u_1$ ,  $(h, i)fb(a, e) \mapsto u_1v_2u_2v_3u_1$ , and  $cad$ ,  $kel$  and  $hfi$  map to  $P_3$  components.
- (iv)  $(c, d)ab(e, f) \mapsto u_1v_1u_2v_2u_1$ ,  $ecadg \mapsto xu_3v_1(u_1, u_2)$ , and  $cebfh \mapsto yv_3u_1(v_1, v_2)$ . Note that  $G$  and  $H$  are connected and isomorphic.
- (v)  $(c, d)ab(e, f) \mapsto u_1v_1u_2v_2u_1$ ,  $ebfhe \mapsto (v_1, v_2)u_1v_3(y, z)$ , and  $cad$  maps to  $yv_3z$ . Again  $G$  and  $H$  are connected and isomorphic.
- (vi)  $(c, d)ab(e, f) \mapsto u_1v_1u_2v_2u_1$ ,  $(c, d)eb(a, f) \mapsto u_1v_1u_2v_3u_1$ ,  $(h, i)fb(a, e) \mapsto u_1v_2u_2v_3u_1$ ,  $aceda \mapsto (w, x)u_3v_1(u_1, u_2)$ , and  $hfi$  maps to  $wu_3x$ . Again  $G$  and  $H$  are connected and isomorphic.
- (vii) The construction on  $K_{3,3}$ ;  $G \cong H \cong K_{3,3}$ .

**Remark 1.** For generalized  $K_{3,3}$  type, it is easy to get the following results:

1. For cases (i), (ii) and (iii),  $G$  and  $H$  are nonisomorphic, but  $H$  is not connected and there are isolated vertices in  $P_3(G)$  and  $P_3(H)$ .
2. For cases (iv) and (vii),  $G$  and  $H$  are connected with  $T_2(G) = T_2(H) = \emptyset$ , but  $G$  and  $H$  are isomorphic.
3. For cases (v) and (vi),  $G$  and  $H$  are connected, but are isomorphic and there are isolated vertices in  $P_3(G)$  and  $P_3(H)$ .

Thus there is no pair of nonisomorphic connected graphs with isomorphic connected  $P_3$ -graphs in generalized  $K_{3,3}$  type.

## 2.2 Special Whitney type

Let  $SW$  be the graph obtained by subdividing each edge of  $K_{1,3}$  exactly once, then  $P_3(SW) \cong C_6$ .  $\tau$  is a  $P_3$ -isomorphism from  $SW$  to  $C_6$ , then we say  $\tau$ ,  $\tau^{-1}$  or any equivalent  $P_3$ -isomorphism is of *special Whitney type*.

It is clear that  $SW$  and  $C_6$  are two nonisomorphic connected graphs with isomorphic connected  $P_3$ -graphs.

### 2.3 Whitney type 3, 4, 5 or 6

In this subsection, we begin with a general idea which will be used here and in the next subsection. Suppose  $F$  is a graph. A *diamond inflation* of  $F$  is a graph obtained by replacing each edge  $ab \in E(F)$  by an unbraced  $s_{ab}$ -diamond  $D_{a,b}$  ( $s_{a,b} \geq 1$ ), and adding  $t_a$  terminal edges incident with each  $a \in V(F)$  ( $t_a \geq 0$ ). Suppose  $\varphi$  is an edge-isomorphism between graphs  $F$  and  $F'$ , and suppose  $I$  and  $I'$  are diamond inflations of  $F$  and  $F'$ , respectively, with the following property: for every  $ab \in E(F)$ , if  $\varphi(ab) = uv$  then (i)  $s_{uv} = s_{ab}$  and (ii)  $t_u + t_v = t_a + t_b$ . Obtain  $G$  and  $H$  from  $I$  and  $I'$ , respectively, by adding star components to one of them (if necessary) to make the numbers of 2-thorns equal. Then we can define a  $P_3$ -isomorphism  $\tau$  from  $G$  to  $H$  and say that  $\tau$  is a *diamond inflation* of  $\varphi$ .

**Remark 2.** If  $D_{a,b}$  is a nontrivial diamond (i.e.,  $s_{a,b} > 1$ ) in  $G$ , then there exists a unique and nontrivial diamond  $D_{u,v}$  in  $H$  (see the proof in [1]).

The type in this subsection is related to Whitney's exceptional edge-isomorphisms which is stated as follows:

**Theorem 2.2 (Whitney [6])** *Suppose that  $\varphi$  is an edge-isomorphism from  $G$  to  $H$  where  $G$  and  $H$  are both connected. If  $\varphi$  is not induced, then  $i = |E(G)| = |E(H)| \in \{3, 4, 5, 6\}$ ,  $G$  and  $H$  are isomorphic to  $W_i$  and  $W'_i$  in some order, and  $\varphi$  is equivalent to  $\varphi_i$  or  $\varphi_i^{-1}$ , where*

- (i)  $W_6 \cong W'_6 \cong K_4$ , with  $V(W_6) = \{a, b, c, d\}$ ,  $V(W'_6) = \{u, v, w, x\}$ , and  $\varphi_6$  maps  $ab \mapsto uv$ ,  $ac \mapsto uw$ ,  $ad \mapsto vw$ ,  $bc \mapsto ux$ ,  $bd \mapsto vx$  and  $cd \mapsto wx$ ;
- (ii)  $W_5 = W_6 - cd$ ,  $W'_5 = W'_6 - wx$  and  $\varphi_5 = \varphi_6|E(W_5)$ ;
- (iii)  $W_4 = W_6 - \{bd, cd\}$ ,  $W'_4 = W'_6 - \{vx, wx\}$  and  $\varphi_4 = \varphi_6|E(W_4)$ ; and
- (iv)  $W_3 = W_6 - \{bc, bd, cd\} \cong K_{1,3}$ ,  $W'_3 = W'_6 - x \cong K_3$ , and  $\varphi_3 = \varphi_6|E(W_3)$ .

Then a  $P_3$ -isomorphism  $\tau$  is said to be of *Whitney type  $i$*  if  $\tau$  or  $\tau^{-1}$  is equivalent to a diamond inflation of  $\varphi_i$  as above for  $i = 3, 4, 5, 6$ .

Denote by  $t_z$  the number of terminal edges incident with  $z$  for  $z$  in  $\{a, b, c, d\}$  or  $\{u, v, w, x\}$ . For Whitney type  $P_3$ -isomorphisms, according to condition (ii) of Diamond Inflation, gives one equation from each pair of corresponding edges of the original Whitney graphs. Then there is a same solution for all four types:

$$\begin{cases} t_u = \frac{1}{2}(t_a + t_b + t_c - t_d) \\ t_v = \frac{1}{2}(t_a + t_b - t_c + t_d) \\ t_w = \frac{1}{2}(t_a - t_b + t_c + t_d) \\ t_x = \frac{1}{2}(-t_a + t_b + t_c + t_d) \end{cases} \quad (\text{except for type 3}) \quad (1)$$

Because we require connected  $P_3$ -graphs, in the above four equations we must have  $t_z = 0$  or  $1$  for every  $z \in \{a, b, c, d\} \cup \{u, v, w, x\}$ . We write  $(t_a, t_b, t_c, t_d) \mapsto (t_u, t_v, t_w, t_x)$ . If  $t_a, t_b, t_c, t_d = 0$  or  $1$ , then we get the corresponding solutions for  $t_u, t_v, t_w, t_x$  by (1). For example:  $(1, 0, 0, 1) \mapsto (0, 1, 1, 0)$  denotes that  $t_a = 1, t_b = t_c = 0$  and  $t_d = 1$  correspond to solutions  $t_u = 0, t_v = t_w = 1$  and  $t_x = 0$  by (1). So it is easy to check that there are only the following eight cases satisfying  $t_z = 0$  or  $1$  for every  $z \in \{a, b, c, d\} \cup \{u, v, w, x\}$ :

- (i)  $(0, 0, 0, 0) \mapsto (0, 0, 0, 0)$ .
- (ii)  $(1, 1, 1, 1) \mapsto (1, 1, 1, 1)$  (except for type 3).
- (iii)  $(1, 1, 0, 0) \mapsto (1, 1, 0, 0)$  ( $ab \mapsto uv$ ).
- (iv)  $(1, 0, 1, 0) \mapsto (1, 0, 1, 0)$  ( $ac \mapsto uw$ ).
- (v)  $(1, 0, 0, 1) \mapsto (0, 1, 1, 0)$  ( $ad \mapsto vw$ ).
- (vi)  $(0, 1, 1, 0) \mapsto (1, 0, 0, 1)$  ( $bc \mapsto ux$ ) (except for type 3).
- (vii)  $(0, 1, 0, 1) \mapsto (0, 1, 0, 1)$  ( $bd \mapsto vx$ ) (except for type 3 or 4).
- (viii)  $(0, 0, 1, 1) \mapsto (0, 0, 1, 1)$  ( $cd \mapsto wx$ ) (except for type 3, 4 or 5).

If a  $P_3$ -isomorphism  $\tau$  or  $\tau^{-1}$  is equivalent to a diamond inflation of  $\varphi_i$  as above, and falls into one of the above cases (i) through (viii), then  $\tau$  is said to be of *special Whitney type  $i$*  for  $i = 3, 4, 5$  or  $6$ . Thus only in special Whitney type  $i$  for  $i = 3, 4, 5$  or  $6$ , we can find pairs of nonisomorphic connected graphs with isomorphic connected  $P_3$ -graphs if we choose suitable diamond widths.

## 2.4 Bipartite type

First, we also introduce the definition of bipartite type. Start with a positive integer  $k$  and an arbitrary bipartite graph  $F$  with at least one edge and with a bipartition  $(A, B)$ . Let  $I$  and  $I'$  be different diamond inflations of  $F$ , where each edge  $e$  is inflated to a diamond of the same width  $s_e$  both times, but in producing  $I$  each vertex  $v$  has  $t_v$  terminal edges added, while in producing  $I'$  it has  $t'_v$  terminal edges added. where

$$t'_v = \begin{cases} t_v - k & \text{if } v \in A \\ t_v + k & \text{if } v \in B \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Thus, we need  $t_v \geq k$  for all  $v \in A$ . Let  $\varphi$  be the identity edge-isomorphism from  $F$  to itself. Clearly  $\varphi, I$  and  $I'$  satisfy condition (i) of Diamond Inflation, and condition (ii) is satisfied because each edge of  $F$  has the form  $ab$  with  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ , so that  $t'_a + t'_b = (t_a - k) + (t_b + k) = t_a + t_b$ . We can

therefore obtain a  $P_3$ -isomorphism  $\tau$  by diamond inflation;  $\tau$  is in general not induced. We say  $\tau$  and  $\tau^{-1}$ , or any equivalent  $P_3$ -isomorphisms, are of *bipartite type*.

This case is similar to the above Whitney type. Because we require that the  $P_3$ -graphs of  $I$  and  $I'$  are connected, we must have  $t_v, t'_v = 0$  or  $1$  for every  $v \in A \cup B$ . Since  $k \leq t_v(v \in A)$ , we have  $k = 0$  or  $1$ . If  $k = 0$ , then  $I \cong I'$ . If  $k = 1$ , then  $t_u = 1$  for all  $u \in A$  and  $t_v = 0$  for all  $v \in B$ . Otherwise, if there is a vertex  $u_0 \in A$  with  $t_{u_0} = 0$  or a vertex  $v_0 \in B$  with  $t_{v_0} = 1$ , then  $t'_{u_0} = -1$  or  $t'_{v_0} = 2$  by (2). Therefore we have a  $P_3$ -isomorphism  $\tau_0$  from  $I$  to  $I'$ , where  $t_u = 1$  and  $t'_u = 0$  for all  $u \in A$ ,  $t_v = 0$  and  $t'_v = 1$  for all  $v \in B$ , respectively. Then we say that  $\tau_0$  and  $\tau_0^{-1}$ , or any equivalent  $P_3$ -isomorphism, are of *special bipartite type*. Therefore, this is the only case to find pairs of nonisomorphic connected graphs which have isomorphic connected  $P_3$ -graphs in the bipartite type.

## 2.5 $TBSD$ -related to an induced $P_3$ -isomorphism

In this subsection, we require that there is no isolated vertices in  $P_3$ -graphs. Then all  $P_3$ -isomorphisms are  $BSD$ -related to an induced one. It is clear that if two original graphs  $G$  and  $H$  are connected with an isomorphic  $P_3$ -graph, then  $G \cong H$  by the definition of  $BSD$ -related. Thus in this type, if we require connected  $P_3$ -graphs, then the original graph and its  $P_3$ -graph are one to one.

Then from the arguments in above five subsections, we can get the following corollary which is essential to the solution of our problem.

**Corollary 2.3** *Let  $\tau$  be a  $P_3$ -isomorphism from  $G$  to  $H$ , where  $G$  and  $H$  are nonisomorphic connected graphs with an isomorphic connected  $P_3$ -graph. Then  $\tau$  is one of the following:*

- (i) *of special Whitney type;*
- (ii)  *$D$ -related to a  $P_3$ -isomorphism of special Whitney type 3, 4, 5 or 6; or*
- (iii)  *$D$ -related to a  $P_3$ -isomorphism of special bipartite type.*

## 3 Main result

Now we can state and show the main result of this paper.

**Theorem 3.1** *There is no triple of mutually nonisomorphic connected graphs with an isomorphic connected  $P_3$ -graph.*

**Proof.** Assume, to the contrary, that there exists a triple of mutually non-isomorphic connected graphs  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$  and  $G_3$  which have an isomorphic connected  $P_3$ -graph. Let  $\tau_i$  be a  $P_3$ -isomorphism from  $G_i$  to  $G_{i+1}$ , then  $\tau_i$  will be one of three types in Corollary 2.3 for  $i = 1, 2$ .

**Case 1.**  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are of the same type.

**Subcase 1.1**  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are both of special Whitney type.

Without loss of generality, let  $G_1 \cong SW$  and  $G_2 \cong C_6$ . Since  $\tau_2$  is also of special Whitney type, it is clear that  $G_3 \cong SW$ . Thus  $G_1 \cong G_3$ , a contradiction.

**Subcase 1.2**  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are both of  $D$ -related to a  $P_3$ -isomorphism of special Whitney type  $i$  for  $i = 3, 4, 5$  or  $6$ .

Without loss of generality, we assume that  $i = 4$ . Then  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are  $D$ -related to a  $P_3$ -isomorphism of special Whitney type 4, and let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be diamond inflations of  $W_4$  and  $W'_4$ , respectively, where  $t_a = 1$ ,  $t_b = t_c = 0$ ,  $t_d = 1$ ,  $t_u = 0$ ,  $t_v = t_w = 1$  and  $t_x = 0$  (i.e.,  $(1, 0, 0, 1) \mapsto (0, 1, 1, 0)$ ). Since  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are of the same type,  $G_3$  is also a diamond inflation of  $W_4$ , and  $t_a = 1$ ,  $t_b = t_c = 0$ ,  $t_d = 1$  by (1). Hence  $G_1 \cong G_3$ , also a contradiction.

**Subcase 1.3**  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are both of  $D$ -related to a  $P_3$ -isomorphism of special bipartite type.

This subcase is similar to Subcase 1.2. Denote by  $F$  an arbitrary bipartite graph with a bipartition  $(A, B)$ . Then assume that  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are different diamond inflations of  $F$ , respectively, where  $t_u = 1$  for all  $u \in A$  and  $t_v = 0$  for all  $v \in B$  in  $G_1$ ;  $t_u = 0$  for all  $u \in A$  and  $t_v = 1$  for all  $v \in B$  in  $G_2$ . Thus we can easily obtain that  $G_3$  is also a diamond inflation of  $F$  with  $t_u = 1$  for all  $u \in A$  and  $t_v = 0$  for all  $v \in B$  in  $G_3$  by the definition of  $\tau_2$ . Then  $G_1 \cong G_3$ , contrary to the assumption.

**Case 2.**  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are of different types.

By the definition of special Whitney type, we know that it is also a particular case of special Whitney type 3, with the following restrictions: (i) each edge  $e$  with diamond width  $s_e = 1$  in  $K_{1,3}$  and  $K_3$ , and (ii)  $t_u = 0$  for each vertex  $u$  in  $K_{1,3}$  and  $K_3$ . In fact, special Whitney type is the same as special Whitney type 3 in essence. Then in order to solve Case 2, we only need to distinguish the following two subcases:

**Subcase 2.1**  $\tau_1$  is of special Whitney type, and  $\tau_2$  is  $D$ -related to a  $P_3$ -isomorphism of special bipartite type.

By the definition of  $\tau_1$ ,  $G_1$  or  $G_2$  is a diamond inflation of  $W_3 = K_{1,3}$  or  $W'_3 = K_3$ ; and also by  $\tau_2$ ,  $G_2$  and  $G_3$  are different diamond inflations of some bipartite graph, respectively. Thus there is only one possibility:  $G_2$  is a diamond inflation of  $K_{1,3}$ , where  $K_{1,3}$  has a bipartition  $A = \{a\}$ ,  $B = \{b, c, d\}$ . Then  $G_1 \cong C_6$  and  $G_2 \cong SW$ . It is easy to see that  $t_a = t_b = t_c = t_d = 0$  in  $K_{1,3}$ , a contradiction to the definition of special bipartite type,



where  $t_a = 1$  or  $t_b = t_c = t_d = 1$ .

**Subcase 2.2**  $\tau_1$  is  $D$ -related to a  $P_3$ -isomorphism of special Whitney type  $i$  for  $i = 3, 4, 5$  or  $6$ , and  $\tau_2$  is  $D$ -related to a  $P_3$ -isomorphism of special bipartite type.

For  $i = 4, 5$  or  $6$ , if  $\tau_1$  is  $D$ -related to a  $P_3$ -isomorphism of special Whitney type  $i$ , then  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are diamond inflations of  $W_i$  and  $W'_i$  which have odd cycles.  $G_2$  and  $G_3$  are different diamond inflations of some bipartite graph by the definition of  $\tau_2$ . Then  $\tau_1$  must be  $D$ -related to a  $P_3$ -isomorphism of special Whitney type 3. By the same argument as in Subcase 2.1, we obtain that  $G_2$  is a diamond inflation of  $K_{1,3}$ , where  $K_{1,3}$  has a bipartition  $A = \{a\}$ ,  $B = \{b, c, d\}$ . By the definition of special Whitney type 3,  $\tau_1$  falls into one of the following four cases:  $(0, 0, 0, 0) \mapsto (0, 0, 0, 0)$ ,  $(1, 1, 0, 0) \mapsto (1, 1, 0, 0)$  ( $ab \mapsto uv$ ),  $(1, 0, 1, 0) \mapsto (1, 0, 1, 0)$  ( $ac \mapsto uv$ ), or  $(1, 0, 0, 1) \mapsto (0, 1, 1, 0)$  ( $ad \mapsto vw$ ). However, by the definition of special bipartite type, there are only two choices: either  $t_a = 0, t_b = t_c = t_d = 1$ , or  $t_a = 1, t_b = t_c = t_d = 0$ . Finally, there does not exist any graph  $G_2$  that has common property of two different types at the same time. So  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  must be of the same type, a contradiction. The proof is thus complete. ■

## References

- [1] R.E.L. Aldred, M.N. Ellingham, R.L. Hemminger and P. Jipsen,  $P_3$ -isomorphisms for graphs, J. Graph Theory **26** (1997) 35-51.
- [2] J.A. Bondy and U.S.R. Murty, Graph Theory with Applications, MacMillan/Elsevier, London/New York, 1976.
- [3] H.J. Broersma and C. Hoede, Path graphs, J. Graph Theory **13** (1989) 427-444.
- [4] R.L. Hemminger and L.W. Beineke, Line graphs and line digraphs, in: L.W. Beineke and R.J. Wilson, eds, Selected Topics in Graph Theory, Academic Press, London, New York, San Francisco, 1978.
- [5] X. Li and B. Zhao, Isomorphisms of  $P_k$ -graphs for  $k \geq 4$ , Discrete Math. **289** (2004) 71-80.
- [6] H. Whitney, Congruent graphs and connectivity of graphs, Amer. J. Math. **54** (1932) 150-168.